Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,268	SEKINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence E. Crane	1623
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane</u> .	(3)	
(2) Marc S. Weiner (Stephanie Wardwell).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  112 rejection, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph		
Claims discussed: claim 15 and dependent claims		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant indicated that applicant had authorized an amendment to effectively address the rejection of record. Applicant and examiner agreed that applicant would submit a supplemental amendment. Said amendment was filed 12/23/2010.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
II annua E Connal		
/Lawrence E. Crane/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)